

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/735,029	12/12/2003	Ehud Amir	1662/6040761	2457	
	26646	7590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
		KENYON LLP	SACKEY, EBENEZER O			
	ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1624		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
· Office Action Summary			10/735,029		AMIR ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			EBENEZER S		1626					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
			action is non-	final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution						e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the a	application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-64 and 68-84</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-67</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requi	rement.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u></u> acce	epted or b) 🔲 o	bjected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the d	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority									
	2. Certified copies of the priority									
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio</li> </ol>				a in this National	Stage				
* 5				• • •	4					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attach	Vo).									
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Λľ	Intended Summer	DTO 442\					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)	_		te					
3) 🔀 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date			Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application					
. apei			o) [							

Application/Control Number: 10/735,029

Art Unit: 1626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 1-84 are pending.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

### Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 06/09/04 is acknowledged and has been entered into the file. A signed copy of the 1449 is attached herewith.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

## Response to Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group XII claims 65-67 in the reply filed on 07/18/06 is acknowledged. Thus, claims 1-64 and 68-84 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 65-67 are of indeterminate scope because there are no x-ray diffraction peaks in the claims. Additionally, the metes and bounds of "at least one characteristic of form CX" cannot be readily ascertained. What exactly is the form CX?

According to Brittain, a publication attached and cited herewith state:

For routing work...one typically compares the powder pattern of the analyte to that of reference materials to establish polymorphic identity. Since every compound produces its own characteristic powder diffraction pattern owing the unique crystallography of its structure, powder X-ray diffraction is clearly the most powerful and fundamental tool for a specification of the polymorphic identity of the analyte. Moreover, the USP general chapter on X-ray diffraction states that the identity is established if the scattering angles of the ten strongest reflections

obtained for an analyte agree to within +/- 0.20 degrees with that of the reference material, and if the relative intensities of these reflections do not vary by more than 20 percent. (see Brittain in Polymorphism in Pharmaceutical Solids, p.236). Thus, the absence of any peaks of the X-ray diffraction pattern renders the claim indefinite because such absence

**Art Unit: 1626** 

is not specific enough to particularly point out and distinctly claim the product that applicants regard as their invention. At the very least, the claims should be amended to conform to the general practice in the art according to Brittain, i.e., include at least data for the 10 strongest peaks. Moreover, additional data such as water content and DSC scans, if supported in the specification, could also be included in order to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter, which applicants regard as their invention. Note "cooling" has been misspelled under sub-section (b). Correction is required. It is suggested that the formula for gatifloxacin of claim 65 be inserted into the claim for clarity.

U.S.Patent number 5,880,283 is cited to show the state of the art. The said reference teaches the preparation of an acid sesquihydrate of the compound.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

EOS September 20, 2006

(571) 272-1600.

Joseph K. McKane

Application/Control Number: 10/735,029

Art Unit: 1626

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1600 Technology Center 1 Page 5